	Case5:01-cv-20418-JW Documer	nt633 Filed12/05/06 Page1 of 12
1	·	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	INITED CTA	TEC DICTRICT COLUDT
9		ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		JOSE DIVISION
11	In re CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. SECURITIE	
12	LITIGATION) CLASS ACTION
13	This Document Relates To:) [ROPUSED] REVISED FINAL) JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL
14	ALL ACTIONS.) J UDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL) WITH PREJUDICE
15		DATE: December 5, 2006 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
16		COURTROOM: The Honorable James Ware
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
26		
27		
28		
1		

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice ("Order") dated September 11, 2006, on the application of the parties for approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of August 28, 2006 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Class.
- 3. "Class" means all Persons (other than those Persons who timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class) who purchased Cisco securities between November 10, 1999 and February 6, 2001 and held those securities on the last day of that period. Excluded from the Class are the Defendants and officers and directors of the Defendants, as well as their families, and the families of any Defendant. Also excluded from the Class are those Persons identified in Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby approves the settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, just, reasonable and adequate to the Class.
 - 5. The Court hereby approves the settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that:
- (a) said Stipulation is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interest of the Class;
 - (b) there was no collusion in connection with the Stipulation;
- (c) the Stipulation was the product of informed, arm's-length negotiations among competent, able counsel; and
- (d) the record is sufficiently developed and complete to have enabled the Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants to have adequately evaluated and considered their positions.

[PROPOSED] REVISED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-01-20418-JW(PVT)

- 6. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. The Court hereby dismisses the Litigation and all Released Claims of the Class with prejudice, without costs as to any Settling Party, except as and to the extent provided in the Stipulation and herein.
- 7. The Court finds that the Stipulation and settlement are fair, just, reasonable and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and that the Stipulation and settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.
- 8. Upon the Effective Date hereof, the Lead Plaintiffs shall, and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release.
- 9. All Class Members are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Persons.
- 10. Upon the Effective Date hereto, each of the Defendants shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged each and all of the Class Members and counsel to the Lead Plaintiffs from all claims (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the Released Claims.
- 11. The Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action given to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all Members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process.
- 12. Any Plan of Allocation submitted by Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Final Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Final Judgment.

[PROPOSED] REVISED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-01-20418-JW(PVT)

- 14 15
- 17

- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26 27

- 13. Neither the Stipulation nor the settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants or their respective Related Parties, or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants or their respective Related Parties in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal. Defendants and/or their respective Related Parties may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment from this action in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res iudicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 14. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest and expenses in the Litigation; and (d) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Stipulation.
- 15. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 16. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to the Defendants or their insurers, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.
- 17. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.

Case5:01-cv-20418-JW Document633 Filed12/05/06 Page5 of 12

		*
1	18. There is no reason for dela	y in the entry of this Final Judgment and Order of
2	Dismissal with Prejudice and immediate e	ntry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed
3	pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules	of Civil Procedure.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	DATED: <u>December 5, 2006</u>	James Mare
7		THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	Submitted by:	
9	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP	
10	WILLIAM S. LERACH KEITH F. PARK	
11	SPENCER A. BURKHOLZ DANIEL S. DROSMAN	
12	JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN MATTHEW P. MONTGOMERY	
13	LUCAS F. OLTS JESSICA D. TALLY	
14		
15	s/Spencer A. Burkholz SPENCER A. BURKHOLZ	_
16	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
17	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
18	619/231-7423 (fax)	
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	11	

[PROPOSED] REVISED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-01-20418-JW(PVT)

- 1	
1	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
2	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
3	PATRICK J. COUGHLIN LESLEY E. WEAVER
4	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111
5	Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)
6	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
7	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP SANDRA STEIN
8	1845 Walnut Street, 25th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103
9	Telephone: 215/988-9546 215/988-9885 (fax)
0	LEVIN, PAPANTONIO, THOMAS,
1	MITCHELL, ECHSNER & PROCTOR, P.A.
2	TIMOTHY M. O'BRIEN 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600
3	Pensacola, FL 32501 Telephone: 850/435-7000
4	850/497-7057 (fax)
5	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
6	O'DONOGHUE & O'DONOGHUE LLP LOUIS P. MALONE
17	4748 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20016
18	Telephone: 202/362-0041 202/362-2640 (fax)
19	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs
20	S:\Settlement\Cisco.set\REVISED JUDGMENT 00036812.doc
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on December 1, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List. I further certify that I caused this document to be forwarded to the following designated Internet site at: http://securities.lerachlaw.com/. s/Spencer A. Burkholz SPENCER A. BURKHOLZ LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP** 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) E-mail: SpenceB@lerachlaw.com

Mailing Information for a Case 5:01-cv-20418-JW

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- George E. Barrett gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com
- Eric J. Belfi ebelfi@labaton.com ElectronicCaseFiling@labaton.com
- Stuart L. Berman sberman@sbclasslaw.com
- Ronald S Betman rbetman@winston.com
- Patrice L. Bishop service@ssbla.com
- Norman J. Blears
 nblears@hewm.com susan.griffinpreston@hellerehrman.com;yvonne.somek@hellerehrman.com;victor.gonzales@hellereh
 rman.com
- Ruth Marian Bond, Esq ruth.bond@sfgov.org blanca.martin@sfgov.org
- Jennifer Corinne Bretan ibretan@fenwick.com
- George H. Brown gbrown@hewm.com nsims@hewm.com;rsazegari@hewm.com
- Spencer A. Burkholz
 SpenceB@lerachlaw.com e_file_sd@lerachlaw.com;e_file_sf@lerachlaw.com
- Connie M. Cheung conniec@lerachlaw.com
 e file sd@lerachlaw.com;e file sf@lerachlaw.com;KiyokoH@lerachlaw.com
- James Nixon Daniel jnd@beggslane.com
- Daniel S. Drosman
 DanD@lerachlaw.com e_file_sd@lerachlaw.com;e_file_sf@lerachlaw.com
- Krista M. Enns kenns@winston.com hhammon@winston.com
- Kathryn Fritz kfritz@fenwick.com
- Donald P. Gagliardi dgagliardi@be-law.com vross@be-law.com
- Bruce C. Gibney bgibney@hewm.com
- Daniel C. Girard girardgibbs@girardgibbs.com cma@girardgibbs.com

- Lionel Z. Glancy info@glancylaw.com
- Jonah Goldstein jonahg@lerachlaw.com
- Mark Gordon
- John Halebian jhalebian@lshllp.com lshscanner@lshllp.com
- Thomas J. Harrison
- Alice L. Jensen ajensen@fenwick.com vadelman@fenwick.com
- Robert A. Jigarjian CAND:USCOURTS@CLASSCOUNSEL.COM
- Willem F. Jonckheer wjonckheer@schubert-reed.com
- Dean S. Kristy dkristy@fenwick.com
- Felix Lee flee@fenwick.com
- William S. Lerach e_file_sd@lerachlaw.com
- Robert L. Michels rmichels@winston.com
- Matthew Paul Montgomery
 mattm@lerachlaw.com e_file_sd@lerachlaw.com;e_file_sf@lerachlaw.com
- Kevin P. Muck kmuck@fenwick.com cprocida@fenwick.com
- Lucas Olts
 LukeO@Lerachlaw.com
- Troy Alan Rafferty trafferty@levinlaw.com balverson@levinlaw.com
- Brian J. Robbins
 robbins@ruflaw.com
 zimmer@ruflaw.com;maytorena@ruflaw.com;sputtick@ruflaw.com
- Darren J. Robbins
- Daniel T. Rockey drockey@hewm.com nsims@hewm.com;rsazegari@hewm.com
- Michael L. Rugen mrugen@hewm.com
- Robert Yale Sperling rsperling@winston.com
- James G. Stranch, III jgs@branstetterlaw.com rjordan@branstetterlaw.com
- Jessica Tally Jessica T@lerachlaw.com
- Carol Lynn Thompson CTHOMPSON@HEWM.COM

- Lesley E. Weaver lesleyw@lerachlaw.com e_file_sf@lerachlaw.com
- Dan Keith Webb dwebb@winston.com
- Ethan Richard York eyork@winston.com ECF_CH@winston.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

J. Erik Connolly Winston & Strawn LLP West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-9703

Christopher Alan Garcia Attorney at Law Dell, Inc 1 Dell Way-Legal Round Rock, TX 78682

Frederick W. Gerkens, III Wechsler Harwood Halebian & Feffer, LLP 488 Madison Avenue, 8th Floor New York,, NY 10022

Corey D. Holzer Holzer Holzer & Cannon LLC 1117 Perimeter Center West Suite E-107 Atlanta, GA 30338

Peter Lawrence Kaufman

Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Echsner 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola, FL 32502

Frederick T. Kuykendall Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitchell Echsner 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola, Fl 32501

Christopher R. Leclerc Heller Ehrman LLP 275 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, Ca 94025-3506

Fredric G. Levin

Levin PapantonioThomas Mitchell Echsner 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola, fL 32501

Timothy M. O'Brien

Levin Papantonio Thomas Mitcgell Echsner 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola, Fl 32501

J. Papantonio Papantonio

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Ech 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola,, Fl 32501

Joe Scarborough

Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Ech 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600 Pensacola,, Fl 32501

Robert E. Smith, JR

Levin Papantonio thomas Mitchell Echsner 316 South Baylen St., Ste 400 Pensacola, FL 32502

Melvyn I. Weiss

Attorney at Law One Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY 10119-1063 Manual Service List (cont.)

In re Cisco Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.

Master File No. C-01-20418-JW(PVT)

Stephen B. Morris, APC MORRIS and ASSOCIATES 401 West "A" Street, Suite 2200 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/239-1300

Wayne Schneider, General Counsel NEW YORK STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM 10 Corporate Woods Drive Albany, NY 12211-2395 Telephone: 800/356-3128

Richard P. Bosson 2703 Montague Court East Clearwater, FL 33761

Charles W. Morgan 2220 Calle Opalo San Clemente, CA 92673 Telephone: 949/498-8296

John P. Dillman Tara L. Grundemeier 1301 Travis, Suite 300 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: 713/844-3436

John R. Ihms 19149 Sierra Estates Drive Newhall, CA 91321-2272

Lee M. Cassidy 1503 North Colonial Court Arlington, VA 22209

Steven L. Moldane 3309 142nd Place NE Bellevue, WA 98007-3249 Telephone: 425/376-2830